UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,622	06/08/2006	Hirofumi Ito	6920/1029-US0	3648
76808 Leason Ellis LL	7590 02/09/201 <b>.P</b>	EXAMINER		
81 Main Street		WOOD, ELIZABETH D		
Suite 503 White Plains, N	Y 10601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/09/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/595,622	ITO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Elizabeth D. Wood	1/32					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence ad	dress				
THE REPLY FILED 02 February 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or application, applicant must timely file one of the followi application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of A for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 periods:	ng replies: (1) an amendment, a ppeal (with appeal fee) in compl	ffidavit, or other evidence, lance with 37 CFR 41.31;	which places the or (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing of	ate of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of th no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expi Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a)	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date se re later than SIX MONTHS from the	mailing date of the final reject	tion.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The d	07(f).						
Latersions of time may be obtained unter 37 of A 1.136(g). The diversions of the first time period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lamay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding a ne shortened statutory period for rep ater than three months after the mail	mount of the fee. The approp bly originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as				
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in co	mpliance with 37 CFR 41 37 mg	ist he filed within two mont	hs of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ctension thereof (37 CFR 41.37)	(e)), to avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further</li> </ol>	consideration and/or search (se		ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE b							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by materi	ally reducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) $\square$ They present additional claims without canceling	a corresponding number of fina	Illy rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR	1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR $^\circ$		on-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	• • ——						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•	_				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is part of the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>3-5 and 8-11</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1,2 and 7</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of fil entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess	o overcome <u>all</u> rejections under	appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	tion of the status of the claims a	after entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered of the reasons set forth hereinabove.	but does NOT place the applica	ation in condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(	s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 12	2/30/10					
13.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
	/Elizabeth D. Woo	d/					
	Primary Examiner,						
	,,						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The examiner has considered the amendment and the information in the request for reconsideration and the affidavit. However, it appears that new consideration would be required if such were to be entered. The response would appear to indicate that it is the amount of the seed crystal that has some criticality in producing the desired result. However, the claimed amount of crystal ranges from 1-60 mass percent of the catalyst synthesized without adding then crystal. This is a very large range and there is no showing that the desired result would be obtained across the entirely of the range. Moreover, the calculated amount of the crystal in the comparative example is within the claimed range, resulting in further confusion with respect to why applicant would obtain a smaller particle.